

# UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/339,132	06/24/99	RAINEY		Т	51810-1050
-			$\neg$	EXAMINER	
DAVID R RIS	! EV	PM82/0330	·	NOVOS/	<b>ND</b> C
		YER & RISLEY LL	<b>5</b>	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	A PARKWAY N			3671	$\mathcal{U}$
ATLANTA GA	30339			DATE MAILED	) <b>:</b>
					03/30/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
The same of the sa		— ·						
	Office Action Summary	09/339,132	RAINEY, THOMAS L.					
-		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Christopher J. Novosad	3671					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	lanuary 2001 .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) 🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-19</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5 and 6</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🖾	☑ Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 7-10</u> is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌	8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11)	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.							
12)	2) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
ŕ	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).								
14/ / Contourougonion to made of a statution account from y and a statution of the statutio								
Attachment(c)								
Attachment(s)  15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)								
16) 🔲 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-692) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) irmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) Notice of Informa	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/339,132

Art Unit: 3671

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 3671.

### Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1, 5 and 6 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 4 and 5 of copending Application No. 09/487,820. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 3 and 6-10 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 11-17 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/339,132

Art Unit: 3671

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher J. Novosad whose telephone number is 703-308-2246. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 5:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached at 703-308-3870. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Christopher J. Novosad

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671

March 29, 2001